IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

WRIT PETITION NO.6669 OF 2002

Union of India and ors. .. Petitioners
Vs
Mrs Sitadevi Balan & Ors. .. Respondents
Mr P.M.Pradhan, for the petitioners.
Mr S.G.Pillai for R.Nos 1 to 3.

CORAM : V.G.PALSHIKAR & D.B.BHOSALE,JJ.
DATE : 16.9.2005.
PC:

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. By this petition, the petitioner-Union of India has challenged the order passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal on 19.2.2002 whereby the original application filed by the respondents was allowed and the recovery ordered from their salaries was quashed.

3. The main contention raised on behalf of the Union of India by Shri Pradhan is that the petitioner before the Tribunal had not specifically challenged the order dated 13.8.2001 in which adequate reasons were given for not equating the stenographers Grade-II with the Inspectors. Factually this aspect is considered by the Tribunal. Paragraph 9 of the order is also taken into consideration and the Tribunal has found that the grant

of advance increment to the original applicants was proper. The amounts have already been paid. It cannot be said that the Tribunal committed a jurisdictional error in passing the impugned order. We see no reason to interfere. The writ petition is dismissed. Rule discharged.

(D.B.BHOSALE, J.) **(V.G.PALSHIKAR, J.)**